13th SBS International Research Conference - SBS-IRC25
24.10.2025, Zurich, Switzerland
DOI: http://doi.org/10.70301/CONF.SBS-JABR.2025.1/1.4

4. Strategic Leadership and Resilience in a Disrupted World: Rethinking Business Practices in the Age of AI, Geopolitical Tensions, and Sustainability

***Aziz Alzeqri*[1],**

[1] SBS Swiss Business School, Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding Author: Aziz Alzeqri

Article Information:

- **Date of Receival**: 16.08.2025.
- **Date of Acceptance**: 28.10.2025.
- **JEL Classification Codes**: M10, O33, Q56

Abstract

The accelerating pace of technological change, combined with intensifying geopolitical instability and pressing sustainability challenges, has created an environment in which traditional leadership models are increasingly inadequate. This paper examines the evolving role of strategic leadership and organizational resilience in a disrupted global context. Through a conceptual synthesis of recent scholarly contributions, the study highlights how leaders can integrate ethical innovation, agility, and sustainability into organizational strategy. Findings emphasize four imperatives: responsible AI governance, agile resilience, ESG integration, and collaborative ecosystems. Practical illustrations are drawn from contemporary cases, such as Microsoft's responsible AI frameworks, Tesla's sustainability-driven innovation, and resilience strategies in the UAE healthcare sector. By embedding agility, ethical foresight, and sustainability into leadership practices, organizations can transform disruption into an opportunity for long-term competitive advantage. Implications are discussed for both business leaders and scholars, with recommendations for future empirical inquiry.

Keywords: *Medical Affairs, Pharmaceutical Industry, Pharmaceutical Product Launch, MSLs, Medical Directors.*

4.1 Introduction

The global business landscape is undergoing rapid transformation, characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA). Forces such as the digital revolution, geopolitical instability, and environmental degradation demand that leaders rethink traditional approaches to management and strategy. Strategic leadership has emerged as a critical factor in enabling organizations to withstand disruption while simultaneously leveraging it for growth. Yet, much of the existing literature has emphasized either technological adaptation, risk management, or sustainability in isolation. A gap remains in integrative frameworks that capture how leaders can simultaneously manage technological, geopolitical, and environmental complexities (Delias, Falk, & Ridderstaat, 2023; Kouam, 2024). This paper addresses that gap by exploring how strategic leadership and resilience can be enhanced to rethink business practices in the age of AI, geopolitical tensions, and sustainability. The central research question guiding this study is: How can leaders effectively navigate disruption to create resilient, innovative, and ethically grounded organizations?

4.2 Strategic Leadership in Disrupted Environments

Strategic leadership is broadly defined as the capacity to establish direction, inspire innovation, and institutionalize ethical governance in pursuit of sustainable advantage (Delias et al., 2023; Kittler, 2020). In disrupted contexts, leaders must embrace both short-term agility and long-term foresight. Research points to the need for leaders to cultivate innovation ecosystems, embed sustainability in vision-setting, and leverage digital tools to support transformation (Dzwigol & Dźwigoł-Barosz, 2024; Marin-Garcia, García-Sabater, & Maheut, 2022).

4.3 Organizational Resilience

Resilience extends beyond mere survival and emphasizes organizational capacity to thrive under disruption. Resilient organizations anticipate, prepare for, and adapt to both incremental change and sudden shocks. Key enablers include agile structures, digital infrastructure, real-time analytics, and empowered employees (Sauer & Seuring, 2023). Importantly, resilience must rest on responsible technology use and sustainability-driven strategies to ensure long-term value creation (Öztürk, Kocaman, & Kanbach, 2024).

4.4 The Impact of AI, Geopolitics, and Sustainability

AI adoption transforms business processes, customer engagement, and decision-making. However, it introduces risks, including ethical dilemmas, workforce displacement, and regulatory uncertainty (Delias et al., 2023). Geopolitical instability requires leaders to adopt scenario planning and risk management practices, particularly in globally interconnected supply chains. Sustainability has shifted from optional to strategic imperative, as organizations face rising expectations from regulators, investors, and consumers to demonstrate ESG accountability (Kouam, 2024). The intersection of these forces underscores the heightened complexity of strategic leadership today.

4.5 Methodology

This paper employs a conceptual methodology, synthesizing insights from peer-reviewed literature, systematic reviews, and theoretical models published between 2020 and 2024. The conceptual design facilitates the integration of diverse perspectives but also limits empirical validation. Future research could build on this foundation by testing proposed leadership frameworks across industries and geographies.

4.6 Discussion

The analysis identifies four imperatives for strategic leadership in disrupted contexts:

1. **Ethical AI Governance** – Leaders must establish governance frameworks that ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability in AI use. This includes aligning technology deployment with organizational values and providing employees with continuous reskilling opportunities.

2. **Resilience through Agility** – Agility strengthens the organization's ability to adapt to shocks. Key practices include decentralized decision-making, scenario planning, and investment in robust digital infrastructure.

3. **Sustainability Integration** – Embedding ESG principles within corporate strategy positions sustainability as both a compliance mechanism and a driver of innovation. Companies that integrate ESG priorities effectively are more likely to capture investor trust and stakeholder legitimacy (Sauer & Seuring, 2023).

4. **Collaborative Ecosystems** – Strategic partnerships across industries and sectors are essential for tackling systemic issues such as climate change and supply chain fragility. Collaboration enhances knowledge-sharing, resource pooling, and global problem-solving.

Real-world cases illustrate these imperatives. Microsoft has pioneered responsible AI frameworks with embedded ethics, Tesla has leveraged innovation to drive sustainability at scale, and UAE healthcare organizations have implemented AI-driven resilience strategies during global crises. These examples demonstrate that leadership anchored in ethical foresight, agility, and sustainability creates pathways for resilience and competitive advantage.

Table 1. Key Leadership Challenges, Strategic Pathways, and Potential Impacts in a Disrupted World

Leadership Challenge	Illustrative Strategic Pathways	Potential Impacts
AI Disruption	• Establish ethical AI governance frameworks • Upskill and reskill workforce • Blend human judgment with machine intelligence	Improved decision quality; greater employee trust; innovation in products and services
Geopolitical Instability	• Scenario planning and risk simulations • Diversified supply chains • Regional partnerships and alliances	Enhanced resilience; reduced vulnerability; stronger global positioning
Sustainability Pressures	• Integrate ESG principles into corporate strategy • Innovate sustainable business models • Transparent stakeholder engagement	Regulatory compliance; stakeholder legitimacy; long-term value creation
Organizational Complexity & Uncertainty	• Agile structures and decentralized decision-making Real-time data and predictive analytics • Adaptive leadership development programs	Faster crisis response; cultural adaptability; sustained competitiveness

4.6 Conclusion

Strategic leadership and organizational resilience are indispensable in today's disrupted world. Effective leaders embed agility, ethical innovation, and sustainability into their strategic core, enabling organizations to transform challenges into opportunities. By cultivating adaptive cultures, embedding responsible AI governance, and fostering collaborative ecosystems, businesses can not only mitigate risks but also create transformative, long-term impact. Future research should empirically assess these practices across industries to strengthen evidence-based leadership models.

4.7 Perspective: Adaptability, Values, and Leadership in Disruption

Adaptability has long been recognized as a critical dimension of resilience, enabling organizations to respond dynamically to unexpected challenges (Lengnick-Hall, Beck, & Lengnick-Hall, 2011; Sutcliffe & Vogus, 2003). Yet adaptability without ethical grounding risks reactive or opportunistic responses. Values therefore act as the compass that ensures flexibility remains aligned with purpose and integrity (Freeman, 1984; Brown & Treviño, 2006). Equally important is liberty, the freedom to think, question, and speak—which aligns closely with Edmondson's (1999) work on psychological safety. By fostering a culture that encourages dissent and inquiry, leaders promote innovation and learning, both of which are essential to resilience.

Training and orientation serve as the enabling mechanisms that transform adaptability and values into practice. Scholars of organizational learning emphasize that resilience is not innate but cultivated through structured learning, capability-building, and systems thinking (Senge, 1990; Garavan & McGuire, 2001). Without ongoing development, organizations risk having adaptive intent without adaptive capacity. Taken together, these elements form a resilience architecture: adaptability as muscle, values as a compass, liberty as a cultural foundation, and training as an enabler. The synthesis lies not in the elements themselves, but in how leaders integrate them into coherent strategies that allow organizations to navigate disruption with integrity, trust, and long-term purpose.

4.8 References

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. The Leadership Quarterly, 17(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004

Delias, P., Falk, M., & Ridderstaat, J. (2023). Crafting impactful papers: Expectations and recommendations for business and management articles. Heliyon, 9(12), e22871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22871

Dzwigol, H., & Dźwigoł-Barosz, M. (2024). Research problem formulation in management science. Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology Organization and Management Series, 2024(212), 55–66. https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2024.212.5

Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383. https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

Garavan, T. N., & McGuire, D. (2001). Competencies and workplace learning: Some reflections on the rhetoric and the reality. Journal of Workplace Learning, 13(4), 144–164. https://doi.org/10.1108/13665620110391097

Kittler, M. (2020). A template and guide to writing academic papers. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3549317

Kouam, A. (2024). Writing an impactful introduction in business and management research: Avoiding common pitfalls. International Journal of Scientific Research and Management, 12(2), 144–152. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v12i02.em07

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. Human Resource Management Review, 21(3), 243–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2010.07.001

Marin-Garcia, J., García-Sabater, J., & Maheut, J. (2022). Case report papers guidelines: Recommendations for the reporting of case studies or action research in business management. WPOM-Working Papers on Operations Management, 13(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.4995/wpom.16244

Öztürk, O., Kocaman, R., & Kanbach, D. (2024). How to design bibliometric research: An over-

view and a framework proposal. Review of Managerial Science. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-024-00738-0

Sauer, P., & Seuring, S. (2023). How to conduct systematic literature reviews in management research: A guide in 6 steps and 14 decisions. Review of Managerial Science, 17(6), 1899–1933. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-023-00668-3

Senge, P. M. (1990). The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization. Doubleday.

Sutcliffe, K. M., & Vogus, T. J. (2003). Organizing for resilience. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton, & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), Positive organizational scholarship (pp. 94–110). Berrett-Koehler. Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Doubleday.

Sutcliffe, K. M., & Vogus, T. J. (2003). Organizing for resilience. In K. S. Cameron, J. E. Dutton, & R. E. Quinn (Eds.), *Positive organizational scholarship* (pp. 94–110). Berrett-Koehler.